

ſ

STOCKTON-ON-TEES SAFEGUARDING VULNERABLE ADULTS COMMITTEE			
	Wednesday 29th January 2014 09.00 - 12.00		
	Venue: Room E, Education Centre, Stockton+		
Present:			
Jane Humphreys	Corporate Director (Chair), Stockton Borough Council (SBC)		
Cllr Jim Beall	Cabinet Member (Adult services and Health), SBC		
Cllr Steve Nelson	Cabinet Member (Housing and Community Safety), SBC		
Liz Hanley	Adult Services Lead, SBC		
Paul Green	Strategic Lead Adult Safeguarding and Mental Capacity Act, SBC		
Pat Haslam	Head of Social Work and Mental Health, SBC		
Janet Hayes	Commissioning Manager, Training SBC		
Christine Brown	Clinical Quality and Safeguarding, Hartlepool & Stockton Clinical Commissioning Group		
Terry Elliott	First Contact Manager, SBC		
Glyn Roberts	Service Manager, SBC		
George Irving	Service Manager (Integrated Services and Older People's Commissioning), SBC		
Bridget Farrand	Tees-wide Board Business Manager		
Simon Willson	Head of Performance, SBC		
Emma Champley	Strategic Commissioner (Public Health), SBC		
Molly Taylor	Adult Safeguarding Specialist Nurse, North Tees and Hartlepool Foundation Trust		
Jill Curbeson	Senior Nurse, Safeguarding Adults, Tees Esk and Weir Valley Foundation Trust		
Steve Rose	Chief Executive, Catalyst Stockton		

			1		
Jill Anderson		Service Manager, First Response, SBC			
Caroline Wood		Housing Options Manager, SBC			
Paul Node	dings	Housing Operations Manager, Tristar	Housing Operations Manager, Tristar		
Caroline F	oster	Detective Sergent, Cleveland police			
Dino Carl	ucci	Detective Inspector, Cleveland Police			
Tracey Bell		Community Health and Wellbeing Manager, Cleveland Fire Se	ervice		
Lesley Hil	I	Harbour (attending on behalf of Lesley Gibson)			
Apologie	s:				
Kevin Ric Brett Barc Angela Fo Margaret Lesley Th	lsley prester Brett irlwell	Team Manager, EDT, SBC Team Manager, Safeguarding, SBC Independent sector representative (care homes) Lead Senior Nurse, Safeguarding Adults North East Ambulance Service NHS Foundation Trust Jo Dickens			
Agenda	Item		Action		
Item 1 & 2	Introduc	tions and Apologies			
		ons were made and apologies accepted.			
3.	Minutes	of last meeting / matters arising			
	agree Self circul works negle	Assessments: discussions are still taking place with TEWV to a the details of the required arrangement and related protocol. Ineglect workshop feedback: Two proposed protocols were ated with the meeting papers. Paul gave feedback from the shop that looked at these protocols (local multi-agency self ct protocol). The protocols will be implemented in 03/02/14 ated in six months time. Paul will coordinate this.	PG		
	 CQC Georg 	information: this information is to be received by Jane, Liz and ge monthly and relevant information will be incorporated into ts to the Committee.	LH		
	meeti out a meeti	Place Scheme: sign up is progressing and a Tees-wide ng has been held. Stockton's intention is to role this scheme cross the Borough. An update will be presented at the next ng including a list of venues that have adopted the scheme. sion North will continue to support the project, including	PG		

	carrying out the planned evaluation of the scheme towards the end of the calendar year.	
	 Probation update: Jane gave an update as presented at the Safer Stockton Partnership (SSP) yesterday. The Durham and Tees Valley bid has been successful at the first stage of the evaluation process. 	
5.	Illegal Money Lending Presentation	
	Catherine Wohlers attended from the national illegal money lending team, which is part of the national Trading Standards Team.	
	Catherine's presentation was circulated with the meeting papers and provided examples of the situations victims find themselves in. The typical characteristics of a loan shark were detailed and the enforcement role of the team was outlined, along with work that is undertaken to support victims.	
	The victim profile was identified as including both men and women and people from a wide range for situations / occupations. Most borrowing is for day to day expenses and unexpected expenditure such as funeral costs.	
	An offer of training for 'frontline' staff was made and will be considered by SVAC member agencies.	ALL
	Links to the role of Credit Unions were made. A Credit Union representative was unavailable to attend this meet but will be invited to present at a future meeting.	LH
	The level of known activity in Stockton and across the country is variable.	
	Jane informed the Committee that she would speak to head Teachers and arrange for Catherine to attend a Head Teachers' meeting.	JH
6.	Changes to Local Strategic Partnerships and Area Boards	
	Lesley King, Head of Policy and Performance, attended to provide an update on the review of the Partnerships in operation in Stockton. This issue was discussed at the SSP and an update requested for the Committee. A paper was tabled detailing how the Partnerships link into the Council's governance framework (this will be circulated with the	LH

	meeting papers). Lesley outlined how the partnerships work together,	
	with particular reference to vulnerable groups.	
	The need to incorporate safeguarding arrangements within the structure	
	was agreed, particularly as Adult Safeguarding Boards will become	
	statutory in due course. It was also highlighted that this is important for	
	the strategic partnerships and thematic groups to work effectively	
	together. Catalyst is actively working to influence the areas they are	
	linked into.	
7.	Appointeeships and Deputyship Arrangements	
	George presented information relating to Department of Work and	
	Pensions (DWP) appointeeships and Court of Protection Property and	
	Financial affairs deputyship arrangements and how these services are	
	provided by the Council to vulnerable adults. The relevant eligibility and	
	operational details were outlined. Reference to Health and Welfare	
	deputyships was made.	
	The activity and responsibilities of the Client Property and Financial	
	Affairs team were broadly outlined, including the numbers of people	
	supported through appointeeships and deputyships. Information	
	regarding activity across the region will be brought to the next meeting.	GI
	The structure of the team was clarified in response to a question from	
	Councillor Nelson. Councillor Nelson asked what the threshold would	
	be for consideration to transfer from an Appointeeship to Deputyship, if	
	someone had an occupational provision, for example. The exact	GI
	amount will be checked and clarified at the next meeting.	
	Any proposal to charge for appointeeships will be discussed at Adult	
	Care Management team in the first instance. Consultation with	
	Councillor Beall will also be undertaken and will be also considered by	LH/GI
	the Adult Board.	
	Pat reminded the Committee that decision making for the future should	GI
	be considered by all adults. George will speak to communications to	<u> </u>
	look into developing an article for Stockton News in relation to planning	
	for the future, including information about making a will, appointeeships	
	and deputyships.	

8.	Community Safety Update	
	Claire Sills attended and presented the report that had been circulated	
	with the meeting papers. The results of the Community Safety survey	
	were outlined. An overview of the roles of the members of the team	
	was also presented. The numbers of people helped in each area of the	
	service were provided. The issue raised at the last meeting relating to	
	victims of disability hate crime was discussed. Assurance of relevant	
	information sharing about disability hate crime was given by the police	
	at yesterday's SSP meeting and was clarified for Community Safety.	
	Links to safeguarding vulnerable adults' processes were raised and	
	Jane will speak to the new Head of Community Safety to secure	JH
	membership of the Committee from this service area. Bridget reminded	
	the Committee of the Tees-wide Board's commitment to addressing	
	Disability Hate Crime.	
9.	NHS Updates	
	Chris gave an update on NHS work to clarify client details who meet the	
	criteria for the Winterbourne View Programme work stream. Jane	LH
	provided an update on the LA position. Only one client may not be	
	supported into alternative provision within the deadline, but this is due	
	to their personal requirements and concerns. A report was presented	
	regarding the NHS position to the Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG)	
	Board this week. The NHS has identified that it will not be able to meet	
	the deadline due to the complexity of needs of a small number of clients	
	and the lack of alternative provision. The difficulties of sharing	
	information between agencies were also raised. Councillor Beal clarified	
	that, although the Health and Well being Board (H&WBB) is not	
	overseeing the Winterbourne View Programme, as chair of the H &	
	WBB he is prepared to write to the Local Area Team to express concern	
	at the lack of information re: the Stockton Residents NHS England has	
	commissioning responsibility for.	
	The Out of Area Safeguarding Protocol and MCA are key areas to	
	consider with respect to ongoing work.	
10	Dementia Collaborative	
	A Project Manager is now in post and the second year project plan is in	LH
	progress. A further update will be presented at the next meeting.	
11.	Health Quality Assurance Update	

	Three presentations were sinculated with the meeting person. Obvio	
	Three presentations were circulated with the meeting papers. Chris presented the NHS commissioning perspective, with particular	
	reference to safeguarding. Support to GP practices was outlined, as	
	well as information about the role of North of England Commissioning	
	Support.	
	Chris agreed to present the NHS annual safeguarding report at a future meeting.	СВ
	Molly presented the FT position. Examples of activities include the	
	production of Quality Accounts and carrying out Patient Experience and Quality Standards visits.	
	Councillor Beall raised the issue that safeguarding is not specifically	
	included in Quality Standards Committee audits. Molly will feed this back.	MT
	Councillor Nelson attended financial abuse training yesterday which identified the particular vulnerability of people in hospital. Molly outlined processes in place to support staff to identify this.	
	Jill presented the TEWV position. Examples of activity include 'Mock'	
	CQC validation visits and safeguarding reports to the Quality	
	Committee and Senior management.	
	Jane noted that adult safeguarding Multi-agency audits need to be considered for adult services. This will be explored further.	JH
12.	Standing Agenda Items:	
	Teeswide Board Feedback and Tees-wide arrangements Bridget informed the Committee of the proposed arrangements for the	ALL
	business unit to support Tees-wide arrangements, pending the	
	implementation of the Care Bill requirements. A summary paper that	
	had been discussed at TSVAB last week had been circulated with the	
	meeting papers. Partners have been given until 07/02/14 to comment on the proposals.	
	The proposed name for Local groups is Local Executive Groups for	

	Safeguarding Adults' or Local Leadership Groups for Safeguarding	
	Adults'. The specific local area of each group would also need to be	
	identified. Strategic and operational functions need to be clarified and	
	the need to reduce 'duplication' of activity as far as possible.	
	Ann Baxter has been appointed as Independent Chair of the Tees-wide	
	Board.	
	The Committee was informed that discussions are in progress at the	
	Tees directors' group about funding for the Tees-wide business unit.	
	Subgroups need to reflect membership of all localities. Revised	
	membership of the Tees-wide Board will meet from April.	
	Witness protection update: a successful conviction has been achieved	
	using witness profiling resource from Stockton.	
	Policy amendments have been agreed to include self neglect and	
	reference to prisoners. The policy will be circulated for signature in due	
	course.	
	Training: Janet provided a verbal update on training arranged since the	
	last Committee including financial abuse and level 5 medication	
	training. Janet also informed the Committee that a proportion of NHS	
	training places are expected to be available to non NHS staff.	
13.	Performance	
	Simon attended and provided an update on changed to the Annual	
	Vulnerable Adults (AVA) return. The Zero Based Review (ZBR) will	
	include AVA information and a transition plan is in place so that the	
	required information can be collected. The requirements for national	
	reporting are reduced, but locally we will continue to record the	
	additional information. Summary information will be presented at the	
	next meeting. Health data collection requirements need to be clarified.	
	A method to record the primary and any other category of abuse is in	
	place and an update will be provided at the next meeting.	SW/GR

Possible options for the recording of domestic abuse and disability hate	PG/GR
crime in safeguarding information is currently being reviewed.	
MCA DoLS 2013 comparison: Paul presented the figures with an	
overview report that was tabled at the meeting. North East	PH
Comparisons need to be shared with the Committee. Pat will obtain this	
from LIN colleagues.	
The national CQC report will be circulated with the meeting minutes.	PG
Simon tabled the Quarter 3 AVA update report, which will be circulated	
with the meeting papers. It was noted that the details of a small number	
of repeat referrals had been looked into and appropriate reasons had	
been ascertained. The Tees-wide performance framework is in	BF
development and it is hoped that this will be implemented from April.	
An update on progress will be provided at the next meeting.	
Paul presented the safeguarding case file audit report, which had been	
tabled. The key findings highlighted were:	
All twelve audits (100%) made specific reference to adult safeguarding	
Eight audits (67%) indicate that a Strategy Meeting was held	
One audit indicates that following the Strategy meeting the outcome	
was NFA.	
The four nemericing cudits were concerned out of edult effective disc	
The four remaining audits were screened out of adult safeguarding	
procedures without the need for a multi-agency strategy meeting as part	
of the agreed threshold screening process.	
For all of the twelve audits the approach to safeguarding was deemed	
to be correct and proportionate.	
Actions were identified in nine (75%) cases.	
Team Managers are allowed a right of reply on receiving the original	
completed audits to challenge findings. None of these audits had a	
challenge response from the Team Manager.	

papers. Outstanding actions
report, covering the period circulated with the meeting d on a database by the g of the questionnaires is very e need to do further work on re the information is recorded out also with the required rding inclusion in meetings y meetings in cases where a same facility or receiving a ave expressed an interest in in trying to improve the quality pdated in due course.
ned peer review to take place are available from Paul or Jo.
d Partnership Board Self- ings have bee arranged. An g.
E, Education Centre
E, Education Centre
E, Education Centre